

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the phone conferences of October 6, 2005, wherein the Examiner graciously agreed to give full consideration to the present Supplemental Amendment. The remarks of the Reply filed September 27, 2005, are fully applicable and are respectfully repeated by reference; and Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to fully review and consider such remarks.

The only change from the main Reply of September 27, 2005, is the amendment in claim 11 above in which the nature of the resilient member is enlarged to include another sub-genus, namely "an irreversibly or plastically deforming element" support being found in paragraph [0023] near the end thereof, i.e. the third from last line of paragraph [0023] in both its original and amended forms.


Claim 11 continues to define patentable subject matter over Bolledi for the reasons set forth in the bottom paragraph on page 10 and the top paragraph on page 11 of Applicant's main Reply filed September 27, 2005. As regards the bottom paragraph on page 10 of the main Reply of September 27, 2005, it is respectfully pointed out that the claimed resilient member in the form of an irreversibly or plastically

deforming element also provides better protection compared with the breaking screw of Bolledi.

Favorable consideration and early formal allowance are respectfully urged.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By 
Sheridan Neimark
Registration No. 20,520

SN:ma
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\M\Mage\Bernhard4\Pto\Suppl Amd 07October05.doc